

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/003,341	10/31/2001	Varda Treibach-Heck	Call-Tell MP	5260
72740 Call-Tell LLC	7590 02/11/200	EXAM	IINER	
Varda Treibacl	h-Heck	SHEIKH, ASFAND M		
Redwood City,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

(1) Anfand M. Chailde

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/003,341
 TREIBACH-HECK ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 Asfand M. Sheikh
 3627

(2) Voudo Tuoibook Hoole

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO person	Il narticinants (an	nlicant applica	nt's representative	PTO personne
---	---------------------	-----------------	---------------------	--------------

(1) Asiana M. Gheikii.	(3) varda Treibach-Fleck.		
(2) <u>Ryan M, Zeender</u> .	(4)David Lewis.		
Date of Interview: 24 January 2008.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal (copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Johnson and Tran</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\hfill \square$ was reached.	g)☐ was not reached. h)☒ N/A		

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant and applicant's representative discussed features of claim 1. The applicant distinguished the limitations found in claim 1 in light of the interpretation taken by the Examiner. The examiner's noted that when an amendment is filed, further consideration and/or search would be necessary.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Asfand M Sheikh/ Examiner, Art Unit 3627

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Examiner's signature, if required